

FREE PRODUCT ACTIONS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS.

YOSHIMICHI UEDA

ABSTRACT. A quick review of a series of our recent works [34, 36, 31, 12] on free product actions, partly in collaborations with Dimitri Shlyakhtenko and with Fumio Hiai, is given. We also work out type III theoretic subfactor analysis on the subfactors constructed in [36] (see also [31]). This part can be read as a supplementary appendix to those works.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Free product actions mean group or group-like symmetries on operator algebras (C^* -algebras or von Neumann algebras), which are naturally arisen from the free product construction. In the early 80s, D. Voiculescu started his original study on the II_1 -factors associated with free groups $\mathbb{F}_n$ (so-called free group factors denoted by $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$) by the use of idea of probability. This line of study is now called *free probability theory* (see [42, 43]), which has been widely accepted as one of the major (non-commutative) probability theories, and the free product construction plays particular rôle in the theory, in particular, naturally produces many explicit examples of non-commutative probability spaces where the theory works quite effectively.

In [34], we began to use free product actions to deal with purely operator algebraic questions by the aid of free probability theory, and then, with D. Shlyakhtenko [31] (also see [36]) we showed that any positive real number greater than 4 can be realized as the Jones index of an irreducible inclusion of II_1 -factors both isomorphic to $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$. We also use, with F. Hiai [12], free product actions to construct variety of examples of (aperiodic) automorphisms on interpolated free group factors $L(\mathbb{F}_r)$, $1 < r \leq \infty$, (independently introduced by K. Dykema [6], F. Rădulescu [24]).

One of the purposes of this paper is to give a quick review of the above-mentioned progress and the other is to give supplementary results to the works [36, 31], part of which was already commented in Remark 3.5 of [31]. The organization is as follows. The §2, §3, §4 are all preliminaries; namely we recall the compact quantum group $\text{SU}_q(2)$ in §2; the notion of free product actions in §3; and Wassermann's construction for minimal actions of compact quantum groups

in §4. The §5 is quick review of [31, 12], while in §6 we give type III theoretic subfactor analysis on the subfactors constructed in [36] (also see [31]). Finally, in §7 we collect some questions and comments related to the subject matter treated in this paper.

The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Fumio Hiai and Dima Shlyakhtenko for their friendship, many discussions and their collaborations with the author. The author also thanks Nobuaki Obata, Akito Hora and Taku Matsui for giving him this opportunity.

2. THE QUANTUM GROUP $SU_q(2)$.

The von Neumann algebraic approach to compact quantum groups is needed for our aim, and we would like to employ the following as the (rather working) definition of compact quantum groups, which is sufficient for the later use. Let A be a von Neumann algebra and $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ be a (normal) $*$ -isomorphism (called a co-multiplication) satisfying the co-associativity: $(\delta \otimes \text{Id}_A) \circ \delta = (\text{Id}_A \otimes \delta) \circ \delta$. The pair (A, δ) is usually called a Hopf - von Neumann algebra. A Haar state h for (A, δ) is a faithful normal state on A satisfying the left and right-invariance property: $(h \otimes \text{Id}_A) \circ \delta(a) = h(a)1_A = (\text{Id}_A \otimes h) \circ \delta(a)$ for $a \in A$. We will here call a Hopf - von Neumann algebra equipped with a Haar state a (von Neumann algebraic) compact quantum group.

All the usual notions in the group representation theory can be used even in this setting. We briefly explain those for the later use. (See [46] for details, also see [2].) A corepresentation π on a finite dimensional Hilbert space V_π means a linear map $\pi : V_\pi \rightarrow V_\pi \otimes A$ with the property:

$$(\pi \otimes \text{Id}_A) \circ \pi = (\text{Id}_{V_\pi} \otimes \delta) \circ \pi.$$

For a basis $\{\xi_j\}$ of V_π one finds $u(\pi)_{ij}$ in A with

$$\pi(\xi_j) = \sum_i \xi_i \otimes u(\pi)_{ij}.$$

Via the natural identification $M_{\dim V_\pi}(A) = B(V_\pi) \otimes A$ (with respect to the basis $\{\xi_j\}$), the operator matrix $u(\pi) := [u(\pi)_{ij}]$ can be regarded as an element in $B(V_\pi) \otimes A$, and the $u(\pi)_{ij}$'s are called the matrix elements with respect to the basis $\{\xi_j\}$. A corepresentation π is said to be unitary when the operator $u(\pi)$ defines a unitary element. An intertwiner of two corepresentations π_1, π_2 means a linear map $T \in B(V_{\pi_1}, V_{\pi_2})$ satisfying

$$(T \otimes 1)u(\pi_1) = u(\pi_2)(T \otimes 1),$$

and the set of those intertwiners is denoted by $\text{End}(\pi_1, \pi_2)$. When $\text{End}(\pi_1, \pi_2) = \{0\}$, we say that π_1 and π_2 are nonequivalent. A corepresentation π is irreducible when the self-intertwiners $\text{End}(\pi) = \text{End}(\pi, \pi)$ is 1-dimensional.

Since we consider only examples of compact-type, any finite dimensional corepresentation is reducible, that is, it is equivalent to a direct sum of irreducible ones, and also is equivalent to, or can be (by replacing the original inner product by a suitable equivalent one), a unitary one. Thus, in any case, for a given finite dimensional corepresentation π , we may and do assume that any given π is a direct sum of finitely many corepresentations:

$$\pi = m_1\pi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus m_n\pi_n$$

with mutually nonequivalent irreducible unitary corepresentations $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_n$ and their multiplicities $m_1, \dots, m_n$. In this case, one easily describe the self-intertwiners $\text{End}(\pi)$ as

$$(M_{m_1}(\mathbf{C}) \otimes \mathbf{C}1_{V_{\pi_1}}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (M_{m_n}(\mathbf{C}) \otimes \mathbf{C}1_{V_{\pi_n}}).$$

The quantum group $\text{SU}_q(2)$ ($q \in (0, 1)$) is a typical example of compact quantum group, which is given in the following way. Let us assume the indeterminates x, u, v, y satisfy the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} ux &= qxu, \quad vx = qxv, \quad yu = quy, \quad yv = qvy, \\ uv &= vu, \quad x^* = y, \quad u^* = -q^{-1}v, \end{aligned}$$

and consider the universal C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ generated by x, u, v, y . One can then construct a co-multiplication $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$ by the matrix-product rule (with replacing the usual scalar multiplication by the operator tensor product):

$$\delta(x) = x \otimes x + u \otimes v, \quad \delta(u) = x \otimes u + u \otimes y, \quad \delta(v) = v \otimes x + y \otimes v, \quad \delta(y) = v \otimes u + y \otimes y.$$

It is known (see [45, 46]) that there is a unique (faithful) Haar state (i.e., a state with the left and right-invariance property as above) for the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \delta)$, and let A be the von Neumann algebra obtained from $\mathcal{A}$ via the GNS representation of the Haar state. It is straightforward to see that the co-multiplication has the unique extension to A , and we still denote it by $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$. By the construction, the GNS-vector gives, as a vector state, a Haar state h for the pair (A, δ) . This compact quantum group (A, δ, h) is called the quantum group $\text{SU}_q(2)$, and sometimes denote $A = L^\infty(\text{SU}_q(2))$ (and $\mathcal{A} = C(\text{SU}_q(2))$).

3. FREE PRODUCT ACTIONS.

Let N_1, N_2 be (σ -finite) von Neumann algebras with faithful normal states φ_1, φ_2 , respectively. We can then construct, from the given pairs $(N_1, \varphi_1), (N_2, \varphi_2)$, a new pair (M, φ) of a von Neumann algebra and a faithful state in such a way that

- (i) there are two embeddings $N_1, N_2 \hookrightarrow M$ whose ranges generate M , i.e., $M = N_1 \vee N_2$;
- (ii) the restrictions of φ to N_1, N_2 (via the embeddings above) coincide with φ_1, φ_2 , respectively;
- (iii) (the *free independence*) the expectation value of any alternating word in $N_1^\circ := \text{Ker}\varphi_1, N_2^\circ := \text{Ker}\varphi_2$ is 0.

The pair (M, φ) is called the (reduced) free product of (N_1, φ_1) and (N_2, φ_2) , and written as

$$(M, \varphi) = (N_1, \varphi_1) * (N_2, \varphi_2).$$

The algebra M has many automorphisms naturally induced from automorphisms on N_1, N_2 preserving the states φ_1, φ_2 , respectively:

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \text{Aut}_{\varphi_1}(N_1) \times \text{Aut}_{\varphi_2}(N_2) \mapsto \alpha := \alpha_1 * \alpha_2 \in \text{Aut}_\varphi(M)$$

determined (thanks to the characterization of (M, φ) explained just above) by the relations $\alpha|_{N_1} = \alpha_1, \alpha|_{N_2} = \alpha_2$. We would like to refer to group or group-like symmetries of this type as *free product actions*, and thus the most typical example is the modular action of $\mathbf{R}$ associated with the free state φ :

$$t \in \mathbf{R} \mapsto \sigma_t^\varphi = \sigma_t^{\varphi_1} * \sigma_t^{\varphi_2} \in \text{Aut}_\varphi(M).$$

This is, of course, a special example, but the reader should pay their attention to it because many observations and/or motivations of [34, 36, 31, 12] came in part from study on modular actions of this type so that many discussions there and/or here are closely related to the others [35, 37, 38, 39]. For example, the attempt of showing the minimality of free product actions is essentially same game as that of finding the III_λ -types of (type III) free product von Neumann algebras. This is quite similar to the infinite tensor product situation (see p.212 of [44] for product-type actions of compact Lie groups and p.65–66 of Takesaki's monograph [33] for the III_λ -classification of Powers factors).

In the quantum group case, an action does no longer mean an automorphic one, that is, an action of a Hopf - von Neumann algebra (A, δ) on a von Neumann algebra N is formulated as a normal $*$ -isomorphism $\Psi : N \rightarrow N \otimes A$ satisfying $(\Psi \otimes \text{Id}_A) \circ \Psi = (\text{Id}_M \otimes \delta) \circ \Psi$. Assume that two actions $\Gamma_1 : N_1 \rightarrow N_1 \otimes A, \Gamma_2 : N_2 \rightarrow N_2 \otimes A$ of a Hopf - von Neumann algebra (A, δ) on von Neumann

algebras N_1, N_2 equipped with faithful normal states φ_1, φ_2 , respectively, satisfy the following invariance condition:

$$(\varphi_1 \otimes \text{Id}_A) \circ \Gamma_1(x) = \varphi_1(x), \quad (\varphi_2 \otimes \text{Id}_A) \circ \Gamma_2(y) = \varphi_2(y)$$

for $x \in N_1, y \in N_2$. Then one can, even in this case, construct the free product action

$$\Gamma := \Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2 : M \rightarrow M \otimes A$$

of (A, δ) on the free product

$$(M, \varphi) = (N_1, \varphi_1) * (N_2, \varphi_2)$$

(by the essentially same way as in the group case) in such a way that

$$\Gamma|_{N_1} = \Gamma_1, \quad \Gamma|_{N_2} = \Gamma_2.$$

See [34, 36] for details.

4. WASSERMANN'S CONSTRUCTION FOR MINIMAL ACTIONS OF COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS.

Wassermann's construction (see [44]) is a way of constructing subfactors of finite-index from (minimal) actions of compact groups together with their (finite dimensional unitary) representations. In this section, we will explain how it can be generalized to the quantum group case, which was done in [36]. (See also [4], where the only Kac case is treated.) For notational simplicity, we will deal with only the $\text{SU}_q(2)$ (i.e., $(A := L^\infty(\text{SU}_q(2)), \delta, h)$, just explained in §2), but this is not essential; in fact we will not use any special characteristic of $\text{SU}_q(2)$.

Let $\Gamma : M \rightarrow M \otimes A$ be an action of $\text{SU}_q(2)$ on a factor M . Let us assume that

- (a) the fixed-point algebra $M^\Gamma := \{x \in M : \Gamma(m) = m \otimes 1\}$ has the trivial relative commutant in M ;
- (b) for any (irreducible) unitary corepresentation (π, V_π) of $\text{SU}_q(2)$ one can find a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ in M in such a way that $(\Gamma|_{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{H})$ is unitarily equivalent to (π, V_π) . See [27] for the terminology of *Hilbert spaces in von Neumann algebras*.

The actions given in [34, 36, 31] clearly satisfy these conditions by the constructions themselves, but in general the latter (b) should be replaced by the faithfulness in the sense of Izumi-Longo-Popa [13] with the aid of some (standard) techniques along the exactly same line as in [1, 27]. The reader is encouraged to consult with Nakagami-Takesaki's monograph [18] although only group actions are treated there. In fact, it is quite a straightforward work to generalize the necessary parts given there to the quantum group case; see [5, 13, 48] for example.

Let π be a finite dimensional corepresentation on V_π of $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$. We then consider the following inclusion:

$$\mathcal{M} := (B(V_\pi) \otimes M)^{\mathrm{Ad}\pi \otimes \Gamma} \supseteq \mathcal{N} := \mathbf{C}1_{V_\pi} \otimes M^\Gamma,$$

where $\mathrm{Ad}\pi \otimes \Gamma : B(V_\pi) \otimes M \rightarrow B(V_\pi) \otimes M \otimes A$ is the action defined by

$$\mathrm{Ad}\pi \otimes \Gamma := \mathrm{Ad}u(\pi)_{13} \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{B(V_\pi)} \otimes \Gamma).$$

See §2 for the definition of $u(\pi)$. Thanks to the assumption (b) we can construct an explicit isomorphism between $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$, and hence, in particular, $\mathcal{M}$ is a factor (see Remark 10 of [36] or Theorem 3.1 of [31]). When replacing the (b) by the faithfulness, one should do the argument after taking tensor product with $B(\mathcal{H})$, and then remove it so that the consequence is slightly changed, i.e., $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are stably isomorphic.

The first non-trivial problem is whether there is a (finite-index) conditional expectation for $\mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{N}$. This is somewhat non-trivial because of the definition of the action $\mathrm{Ad}\pi \otimes \Gamma$ and the non-commutativity of the algebra A . However, we can indeed overcome this by showing the following:

Lemma 4.1. (See p.44–45 of [36].) *Let $\{f_z\}_{z \in \mathbf{C}}$ be the Woronowicz characters of $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$ (see [46]), and consider the element $F_\pi \in B(V_\pi)$ constructed as $F_\pi^z := (\mathrm{Id}_{B(V_\pi)} \otimes f_z)(u(\pi))$, $z \in \mathbf{C}$. Let us consider the quantum dimension and the positive / negative q -traces*

$$\dim_q(\pi) := \mathrm{Tr}(F_\pi) = \mathrm{Tr}(F_\pi^{-1}),$$

$$\tau_q^{(\pi,+)}(\cdot) := \frac{1}{\dim_q(\pi)} \mathrm{Tr}(F_\pi \cdot), \quad \tau_q^{(\pi,-)}(\cdot) := \frac{1}{\dim_q(\pi)} \mathrm{Tr}(F_\pi^{-1} \cdot),$$

where Tr means the non-normalized usual trace on $B(V_\pi)$. Then we have

$$(\tau_q^{(\pi,-)} \otimes \mathrm{Id}_M) \circ E_{\mathrm{Ad}\pi \otimes \Gamma} = E_\Gamma \circ (\tau_q^{(\pi,+)} \otimes \mathrm{Id}_M),$$

where E_Γ , $E_{\mathrm{Ad}\pi \otimes \Gamma}$ denote the usual conditional expectations onto the fixed-point algebras constructed by using the Haar state h .

Therefore, the restriction of the Fubini-map $\tau_q^{(\pi,-)} \otimes \mathrm{Id}_M$ to $\mathcal{M}$ gives rise to a (faithful normal) conditional expectation $E : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$. Unlike in the usual group case, we cannot provide the invariance principle of the exactly same form as in [44] because of the same reason as in the trouble treated above, and hence we start with constructing a candidate of the triple of basic extension, dual conditional expectation and Jones projection, and then show that they satisfy the so-called Pimsner-Popa characterization.

Consider the bigger algebra

$$\mathcal{M}_1 := (B(V_{\hat{\pi}} \otimes V_{\pi}) \otimes M)^{\text{Ad}(\hat{\pi} \otimes \pi) \otimes \Gamma}$$

into which the inclusion $\mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{N}$ is embedded with $x \mapsto 1_{V_{\hat{\pi}}} \otimes x$, where $\hat{\pi}$ means the *canonical dual* corepresentation on $V_{\hat{\pi}} := \overline{V}_{\pi}$ of π (see [3]). By the same reason as above, it can be checked that $\mathcal{M}_1$ is a factor, and also then that the restriction of the Fubini-map $\tau_q^{(\hat{\pi}, -)} \otimes \text{Id}_{B(V_{\pi})} \otimes \text{Id}_M$ to $\mathcal{M}_1$ gives rise to a conditional expectation $E_1 : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ thanks to the equation $\text{Ad}(\hat{\pi} \otimes \pi) \otimes \Gamma = \text{Ad}\hat{\pi} \otimes (\text{Ad}\pi \otimes \Gamma)$. Looking at the basic extension of the inclusion

$$B(V_{\pi}) \supseteq \mathbf{C}1, \quad \tau_q^{(\pi, -)}(\cdot) : B(V_{\pi}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}1,$$

one can easily show that

$$\mathcal{M}_1 \xrightarrow{E_1} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{E} \mathcal{N}$$

satisfies one variation (see Theorem 8 of [11]) of the Pimsner-Popa characterization of basic extensions so that this tower is the Jones tower up to the 1st step. As a consequence one sees that the index $\text{Ind}E$ is given by the square of the quantum dimension $(\dim_q(\pi))^2$.

Summing up the above discussions we in particular have the following:

Proposition 4.2. ([36]) *We have*

- (1) $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{N}$, and in particular, both being factors;
- (2) $\text{Ind}E = (\dim_q \pi)^2 := \text{Tr}(F_{\pi})^2 = \text{Tr}(F_{\pi}^{-1})^2$.

If one uses the faithfulness together with the usual technique of taking tensor product with $B(\mathcal{H})$ instead of the assumption (2), the first assertion should be changed into

- (1') $\mathcal{M}$ is stably isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}$.

(Of course, this change is not needed when the fixed-point algebra is properly infinite.)

Clearly, the above procedure of finding the basic extension can be iterated, and the n -th basic extension $\mathcal{M}_n$ has the simple description:

$$\mathcal{M}_n = (B(V_{\rho_n}) \otimes M)^{\text{Ad}\rho_n \otimes \Gamma}$$

with the embedding $x \mapsto 1 \otimes x$, where ρ_n is determined by the recursive relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{2m+1} &= \hat{\pi} \otimes \rho_{2m}, \\ \rho_{2m} &= \pi \otimes \rho_{2m-1}, \\ \rho_0 &= \pi. \end{aligned}$$

We should point out here that the conditional expectation $E_n : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n-1}$ is of course described by the same way as above based on the q -traces.

5. REVIEW ON THE WORKS WITH D. SHLYAKHTENKO AND WITH F. HIAI.

5.1. Irreducible subfactors of $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$: Joint work with D. Shlyakhtenko.

Based on [36] with the aid of free Araki-Woods factors introduced by D. Shlyakhtenko [28, 29] (also see [30]), we showed with D. Shlyakhtenko the following theorem:

Theorem 5.1. ([31]) *Any real number > 4 can be realized as the Jones index $[\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{N}]$ of an irreducible inclusion $\mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{N}$ in such a way that $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{N} \cong L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$.*

This together with his pioneering work of F. Rădulescu [24] in the subject matter implies

Corollary 5.2. *The possible Jones indices of irreducible inclusions consisting of $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ is the so-called Jones spectrum*

$$\left\{ 4 \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{n+1} : n \geq 2 \right\} \cup [4, \infty].$$

In the proof, we first construct the free product action $\Gamma : M \rightarrow M \otimes A$ of $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$ by

$$(M, \varphi) := (L(\mathbb{F}_N), \tau_{\mathbb{F}_N}) * (A, h), \quad \Gamma := (\mathrm{Id}_{L(\mathbb{F}_N)} \otimes 1_A) * \delta,$$

and then consider the Wassermann-type inclusion associated with an irreducible corepresentation. This inclusion consists of type III_{q^2} factors so that we show that it is of essentially type II, and then get the desired type II_1 subfactor via the structure theorem of type III factors. What we have to do is to investigate the fixed-point algebra M^Γ , or more precisely its discrete core. In the process of identifying with $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$, one of the free Araki-Woods factors (see [28]) naturally arises in the following way: Our type III subfactor M^Γ can be described, via the Takesaki duality (for compact quantum group action), as the amalgamated free product

$$\left(L(\mathbb{F}_N) \otimes \widehat{A}, \tau_{\mathbb{F}_N} \otimes \mathrm{Id} \right) *_{\widehat{A}} \left(B(L^2(A)), F \right),$$

where $\widehat{A} := \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathrm{SU}_q(2)})$ means the dual Hopf-von Neumann algebra of $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$. Since $\widehat{A}$ is an infinite direct sum of matrix algebras, an argument similar to Theorem 5.1 of [29] works for identifying M^Γ (or the amalgamated free product of the above form) with the unique free Araki-Woods factor of type III_{q^2} . The free Araki-Woods factor of type III_λ ($\lambda \neq 0, 1$) is known to have the discrete core isomorphic to $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \otimes B(\mathcal{H})$, and hence we observe an exact relationship between our subfactor and the free group factor $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$.

Therefore, one of the key-points is “passing once through infinite (type III) factors to get a result on type II₁ factors.” This should be much emphasized. In fact, S. Popa and D. Shlyakhtenko [23] generalized this theorem further to the final form, where the use of type II_∞ factors is one of the most essential points although the counter-part of the arguments explained above is much more complicated in their case.

5.2. Automorphisms of $L(\mathbb{F}_r)$ with $r > 1$: Joint work with F. Hiai. Using free product actions, we exhibited, with F. Hiai, several automorphisms with special characteristics on each (interpolated) free group factor $L(\mathbb{F}_r)$ with $r > 1$ ([6, 24]).

Theorem 5.3. ([12]) *There are continuously many non-outer conjugate aperiodic automorphisms on the interpolated free group factor $L(\mathbb{F}_r)$ with any fixed $r > 1$, all of whose crossed-products are isomorphic.*

This theorem is a supplementary result to a famous one of J. Phillips (see [19, 20]) obtained in the 70s. We also worked on this isomorphic crossed-product algebra; it has the property Γ but not McDuff or not strongly stable, and its quotient group $\overline{\text{Int}}/\text{Int}$ is isomorphic to the 1st cohomology group of the unique amenable type II₁ equivalence relation. Those proofs are essentially same as those given in [39].

Let P, Q be von Neumann algebras equipped with faithful normal states φ_P, φ_Q , respectively. Let G be a discrete (countable) group, and the compact co-commutative Kac algebra $\mathbb{K}_G = (L(G), \delta_G, \kappa_G, \tau_G)$ can act on the free product algebra

$$(M, \varphi) := (P \otimes L(G), \varphi_P \otimes \tau_G) * (Q, \varphi_Q)$$

by the free product action

$$\Gamma^G = (\text{Id}_P \otimes \delta_G) * (\text{Id}_Q \otimes 1_{L(G)}).$$

This action is related to the following action α of G on the free product algebra

$$(N, \psi) = (P, \varphi_P) * \left(\bigstar_{g \in G} (Q, \varphi_Q)_g \right)$$

defined by

$$\alpha_g := \text{Id}_P * \gamma_g^G$$

with the G -free shift action γ^G on $\bigstar_{g \in G} (Q, \varphi_Q)_g$. In fact, the following duality holds:

Theorem 5.4. ([12]) *We have*

$$(M, \Gamma^G) \cong (N \rtimes_\alpha G, \widehat{\alpha})$$

*with the dual action $\widehat{\alpha}$ ($= \widehat{\text{Id}_P * \gamma^G}$) (see [18]),*

This theorem explains (rather philosophically) that the minimal actions of $\text{SU}_q(N)$ constructed in [34, 36] can be regarded as the dual actions of “free Bernoulli shift actions” of the dual $\ell^\infty(\widehat{\text{SU}_q(N)})$. We will return to this point of view in near future.

This duality can be used for example to investigate the crossed-products by free Bernoulli shifts on the free group factor $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$, see [12] for details.

6. TYPE III THEORETIC SUBFACTOR ANALYSIS ON WASSERMANN-TYPE SUBFACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH $\text{SU}_q(2)$

Throughout this section, we keep and use freely the notations in §2, §3, §4. Let us assume that $\Gamma : M \rightarrow M \otimes A$ is a minimal action of $\text{SU}_q(2)$ equipped with an invariant state φ satisfying

- (i) the fixed-point algebra M^Γ is of type III_{q^2} ;
- (ii) the modular automorphism σ_t^φ has the period $T_0 := -\frac{2\pi}{\log q^2}$;
- (iii) there is a von Neumann subalgebra Q of $M^\Gamma \cap M^{\sigma^\varphi}$ with $Q' \cap M = \mathbf{C}1$.

These conditions are typical characteristic that the actions given in [34, 36, 31] (one of which is explained in §§5.1 briefly) has. Let us next choose an arbitrary finite dimensional unitary corepresentation $\pi : V_\pi \rightarrow V_\pi \otimes A$, and consider the Wassermann-type inclusion consisting of (isomorphic) type III_{q^2} factors

$$\mathcal{M}(\pi) := (B(V_\pi) \otimes M)^{\text{Ad}\pi \otimes \Gamma} \stackrel{E_\pi}{\supseteq} \mathcal{N}(\pi) := \mathbf{C}1_{V_\pi} \otimes M^\Gamma$$

Since we do not assume that π is irreducible, the inclusion may not be irreducible so that it is unclear whether or not the inclusion $\mathcal{M}(\pi) \supseteq \mathcal{N}(\pi)$ is of essentially type II. In what follows, we will discuss this aspect completely. Namely, following Hamachi-Kosaki’s analysis on type III subfactors ([9, 10, 14, 15, 16]), we investigate the factor map structure and the three-step inclusions associated with the type III inclusion $\mathcal{M}(\pi) \supseteq \mathcal{N}(\pi)$, and as one consequence give a necessary and sufficient condition, in terms of fusion rule of π decomposed into irreducible corepresentations, when the inclusion in question is of essentially type II, i.e., it can be described by an inclusion of type II_1 factors (together with a certain $\mathbb{Z}$ -action on its amplification) in principle. We encourage the reader to consult with [15] as a brief survey of Hamachi-Kosaki’s analysis.

As explained in §2, we may and do assume that the π is the direct sum of (unitary) irreducible corepresentations:

$$\pi = m_1\pi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus m_n\pi_n,$$

and hence

$$\text{End}(\pi) = (M_{m_1}(\mathbf{C}) \otimes \mathbf{C}1_{V_{\pi_1}}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (M_{m_n}(\mathbf{C}) \otimes \mathbf{C}1_{V_{\pi_n}}).$$

Therefore, one has:

$$\mathcal{N}(\pi)' \cap \mathcal{M}(\pi) = B(V_\pi)^{\text{Ad}\pi} \otimes \mathbf{C}1_M \cong B(V_\pi)^{\text{Ad}\pi} = \text{End}(\pi)$$

(since $(M^\Gamma)' \cap M = \mathbf{C}1_M$) so that the inclusion $\mathcal{M}(\pi) \supseteq \mathcal{N}(\pi)$ is irreducible if and only if so is π .

Let us start with looking at the inclusion:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\pi)} := \mathcal{M}(\pi) \rtimes_{\sigma^{\psi \circ E_\pi}} \mathbf{R} \stackrel{\hat{E}_\pi}{\supseteq} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}(\pi)} := \mathcal{N}(\pi) \rtimes_{\sigma^\psi} \mathbf{R},$$

where ψ is the restriction of φ to $M^\Gamma = \mathcal{N}(\pi)$. Here $\hat{E}_\pi$ is defined by

$$\hat{E}_\pi \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} m(t) \lambda(t) dt \right) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_\pi(m(t)) \lambda(t) dt.$$

The following simple application of the Fourier transform is essential in our computations:

Lemma 6.1. (e.g. Proposition 5.6 of [8]) *There is a surjective isomorphism*

$$\Phi : M^\Gamma \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathbf{R} \rightarrow (M^\Gamma \rtimes_{\dot{\sigma}} \mathbb{T}) \otimes L^\infty[0, -\log q^2]$$

determined by

$$\Phi(\pi_\sigma(m)) = \pi_{\dot{\sigma}}(m) \otimes 1, \quad \Phi(\lambda^\sigma(t)) = \lambda^{\dot{\sigma}}([t]) \otimes m_{e^{it(\cdot)}}.$$

Here, we set $\sigma := \sigma^\psi$ and $\dot{\sigma}$ is the natural torus action of $\mathbb{T} := \mathbf{R}/T_0\mathbb{Z}$ induced by σ (σ has the period T_0 by the assumption (ii)) with the natural quotient mapping $t \in \mathbf{R} \mapsto [t] \in \mathbb{T}$.

This lemma enables us to compute the relative commutant

$$\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}(\pi)} \right)' \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\pi)}.$$

(One should here note that this relative commutant involves continuous crossed-products so that the “Fourier series expansion” cannot be used to deal with generic elements in any sense !)

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (M^\Gamma \rtimes_\sigma \mathbf{R})' \cap (\mathbf{C}1 \rtimes \mathbf{R}) \\
& \cong ((M^\Gamma \rtimes_{\dot{\sigma}} \mathbb{T}) \otimes L^\infty[0, -\log q^2])' \cap ((\mathbf{C}1 \rtimes \mathbb{T}) \otimes L^\infty[0, -\log q^2]) \\
& = \mathbf{C}1 \otimes L^\infty[0, -\log q^2]
\end{aligned}$$

(the first isomorphism given by Φ), and thus

$$(M^\Gamma \rtimes_\sigma \mathbf{R})' \cap (\mathbf{C}1 \rtimes \mathbf{R}) = \{\lambda^\sigma(T_0) = 1 \otimes \lambda_{T_0}\}''$$

since

$$\{\Phi(\lambda^\sigma(T_0))\}'' = \{1 \otimes m_{e^{iT_0}(\cdot)}\}'' = \mathbf{C}1 \otimes L^\infty[0, -\log q^2].$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\widetilde{\mathcal{N}(\pi)})' \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\pi)} \\
& \subseteq (\mathbf{C}1 \otimes Q \otimes \mathbf{C}1)' \cap (B(V_\pi) \otimes M)^{\text{Ad}\pi \otimes \Gamma} \otimes B(L^2(\mathbf{R})) \\
& = (B(V_\pi) \otimes (Q' \cap M))^{\text{Ad}\pi \otimes \Gamma} \otimes B(L^2(\mathbf{R})) \quad (Q \text{ sits in } M^\Gamma) \\
& = B(V_\pi)^{\text{Ad}\pi} \otimes \mathbf{C}1 \otimes B(L^2(\mathbf{R})) \quad (\text{by the assumption (iii)}) \\
& = \text{End}(\pi) \otimes \mathbf{C}1 \otimes B(L^2(\mathbf{R})).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma_t^{\psi \circ E_\pi} = (\text{Ad} F_\pi^{it} \otimes \sigma_t^\varphi)|_{\mathcal{M}(\pi)}$ and $F_\pi \in \text{End}(\pi)'$ (see Eq.(3.9) in p.47, lines 3–6 from the top of p.49 of [36]), we have

$$\pi_\sigma(x \otimes 1) = x \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{End}(\pi),$$

and hence

$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}(\pi)})' \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\pi)} \subseteq \text{End}(\pi) \otimes \mathbf{C}1 \otimes \{\lambda_t : t \in \mathbf{R}\}''$$

because $\{\lambda_t : t \in \mathbf{R}\}''$ is a MASA in $B(L^2(\mathbf{R}))$. Here, λ_t means the (left) regular representation of $\mathbf{R}$. Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}(\pi)})' \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\pi)} &= (\widetilde{\mathcal{N}(\pi)})' \cap (\text{End}(\pi) \otimes \mathbf{C}1 \otimes \{\lambda_t : t \in \mathbf{R}\}'') \\
&= (\mathbf{C}1 \otimes (M^\Gamma \rtimes_\sigma \mathbf{R}))' \cap (\text{End}(\pi) \otimes (\mathbf{C} \rtimes \mathbf{R})) \\
&= \text{End}(\pi) \otimes \mathbf{C}1 \otimes \{\lambda_{T_0}\}'' \\
&= (\cong \text{End}(\pi) \otimes L^\infty[0, -\log q^2]).
\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\mathcal{Z} := \mathcal{Z} \left((\widetilde{\mathcal{N}(\pi)})' \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\pi)} \right) = \mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)) \otimes \mathbf{C}1 \otimes \{\lambda_{T_0}\}''.$$

We have

$$\theta_t^{\mathcal{M}(\pi)}(x \otimes 1 \otimes \lambda_{T_0}) = x \otimes 1 \otimes (e^{-itT_0} \lambda_{T_0}), \quad x \in \mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)),$$

and hence, via the identification due to Lemma 1 (or Φ),

$$\theta_t^{\mathcal{M}(\pi)} : m_{e^{iT_0}(\cdot)} \longmapsto m_{e^{iT_0}(\cdot - t)} = m_{(e^{iT_0}(\cdot)) \circ F_{-t}},$$

where F_t is the translation by $t \pmod{-\log q^2}$. Thus, we get the isomorphism

$$\Psi : \left(\mathcal{Z}, \theta_t^{\mathcal{M}(\pi)}|_{\mathcal{Z}} \right) \cong \left(\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)) \otimes L^\infty[0, -\log q^2], \text{Id} \otimes F_t^* \right).$$

What we want to do at first is to describe the relative position of the two subalgebras:

$$\mathcal{Z}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\pi)}) \text{ and } \mathcal{Z}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}(\pi)})$$

inside $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}\left(\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}(\pi)}\right)' \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\pi)}\right)$ by giving an explicit expression of the factor maps

$$X_{\mathcal{M}(\pi)} \xleftarrow{\pi^{\mathcal{M}(\pi)}} X \xrightarrow{\pi^{\mathcal{N}(\pi)}} X_{\mathcal{N}(\pi)}$$

with the point-realizations:

$$\mathcal{Z} = L^\infty(X), \quad \mathcal{Z}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\pi)}) = L^\infty(X_{\mathcal{M}(\pi)}), \quad \mathcal{Z}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}(\pi)}) = L^\infty(X_{\mathcal{N}(\pi)}).$$

The lemma below seems to be quite standard, but we will give the proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 6.2. *We have, via the Ψ , the following description:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} &= \mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)) \otimes L^\infty[0, -\log q^2], \\ \mathcal{Z}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\pi)}) &= \left\{ F_\pi^{-iT_0} \otimes m_{e^{iT_0}(\cdot)} \right\}'' , \\ \mathcal{Z}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}(\pi)}) &= \mathbf{C}1 \otimes L^\infty[0, -\log q^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Only the non-trivial part is to compute $\mathcal{Z}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\pi)})$. Since $\sigma_{T_0}^{\psi \circ E_\pi} = \text{Ad}(F_\pi^{iT_0} \otimes 1)$, the $F_\pi^{iT_0} \otimes 1$ is in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}(\pi)_{\psi \circ E_\pi})$ so that we can find an invertible element $h \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}(\pi)_{\psi \circ E_\pi})$ with $F_\pi^{iT_0} \otimes 1 = h^{iT_0}$. (Note that h and $F_\pi \otimes 1$ are different and agree with only in the iT_0 -power !) Perturbing $\psi \circ E_\pi$ by h^{-1} , i.e., $\psi \circ E_\pi(h^{-1} \cdot) = \psi \circ E_\pi(h^{-1/2} \cdot h^{-1/2})$, we get the new state ϕ on $\mathcal{M}(\pi)$ with the property $\sigma_{T_0}^\phi = \text{Id}$. The unitary operator U^h on $L^2(\mathbf{R}, L^2(\mathcal{M}(\pi)))$ defined by

$$(U^h \xi)(s) := h^{-is} \xi(s), \quad \xi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}, L^2(\mathcal{M}(\pi)))$$

gives us the isomorphism $\text{Ad}U^h : \mathcal{M}(\pi) \rtimes_{\sigma^\phi} \mathbf{R} \cong \mathcal{M}(\pi) \rtimes_{\sigma^{\psi \circ E_\pi}} \mathbf{R}$, which satisfies that

$$\text{Ad}U^h(\pi_{\sigma^\phi}(m)) = \pi_{\sigma^{\psi \circ E_\pi}}(m),$$

$$\text{Ad}U^h(\lambda^{\sigma^\phi}(t)) = (h^{-it} \otimes 1) \lambda^{\sigma^{\psi \circ E_\pi}}(t) = \pi_{\sigma^{\psi \circ E_\pi}}(h^{-it}) \lambda^{\sigma^{\psi \circ E_\pi}}(t).$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\pi)}) &= \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}(\pi) \rtimes_{\sigma^{\psi \circ E\pi}} \mathbf{R}) \\
&= \text{Ad}U^h(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}(\pi) \rtimes_{\sigma^\phi} \mathbf{R})) \\
&= \text{Ad}U^h\left(\left\{\lambda^{\sigma^\phi}(T_0)\right\}''\right) \\
&\quad (\text{since } \sigma_{T_0}^\phi = \text{Id, see around Lemma 6.1}) \\
&= \left\{\pi_{\sigma^{\psi \circ E\pi}}(F_\pi^{-iT_0} \otimes 1) \lambda^{\sigma^{\psi \circ E\pi}}(T_0)\right\}'' \quad (\text{since } F_\pi^{iT_0} \otimes 1 = h^{iT_0}) \\
&= \left\{F_\pi^{-iT_0} \otimes 1 \otimes \lambda_{T_0}\right\}'' .
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get the desired identification via the isomorphism Ψ . $\square$

It is known that the irreducible corepresentations are indexed by the spins, i.e., the half-integers so that we can associate the half-integer $\ell(\pi_j) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$ to any π_j , and we easily observe

$$F_\pi^{-iT_0} = F_\pi^{iT_0} = \sum (-1)^{2\ell(\pi_j)} p_j \in \mathbf{C}p_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{C}p_n = \mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi))$$

based on the explicit computation of the matrix elements of the spin ℓ corepresentations, see [17]. The standard identification

$$\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)) \otimes L^\infty[0, -\log q^2] \cong L^\infty(\{1, \dots, n\} \times [0, -\log q^2])$$

sends the generators

$$F_\pi^{-iT_0} \otimes m_{e^{iT_0}(\cdot)}, \quad 1 \otimes m_{e^{iT_0}(\cdot)}$$

of $\mathcal{Z}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\pi)})$, $\mathcal{Z}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}(\pi)})$ to the functions

$$\begin{aligned}
u^{\mathcal{M}(\pi)}(j, s) &:= (-1)^{2\ell(\pi_j)} e^{iT_0 s} = e^{iT_0(s - \ell(\pi_j) \log q^2)}, \\
u^{\mathcal{N}(\pi)}(j, s) &:= e^{iT_0 s},
\end{aligned}$$

respectively. The mapping

$$\pi_0 : (j, s) \mapsto (j, s - \ell(\pi_j) \log q^2)$$

defined on $\{1, \dots, n\} \times [0, -\log q^2]$ satisfies

$$u^{\mathcal{M}(\pi)} \circ \pi_0^{-1} = u^{\mathcal{N}(\pi)} \quad \text{or} \quad u^{\mathcal{M}(\pi)} = u^{\mathcal{N}(\pi)} \circ \pi_0$$

so that the induced automorphism Φ_0 of $\mathcal{Z}$ of π_0 satisfies

$$\Phi_0\left(\mathcal{Z}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\pi)})\right) = \mathcal{Z}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}(\pi)}), \quad (\theta_t|_{\mathcal{Z}}) \circ \Phi_0 = \Phi_0 \circ (\theta_t|_{\mathcal{Z}}).$$

Since both $\mathcal{M}(\pi)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\pi)$ are factors of type III_{q^2} , the $X_{\mathcal{M}(\pi)}$, $X_{\mathcal{N}(\pi)}$ are the same space;

$$X_{\mathcal{M}(\pi)} = X_{\mathcal{N}(\pi)} = [0, -\log q^2),$$

and the identification here enables us to describe the factor map

$$\pi^{\mathcal{N}(\pi)} : X := \{1, \dots, n\} \times [0, -\log q^2) \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{N}(\pi)} = [0, -\log q^2)$$

by the standard one $(j, s) \mapsto s$ while the factor map

$$\pi^{\mathcal{M}(\pi)} : X := \{1, \dots, n\} \times [0, -\log q^2) \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{M}(\pi)} = [0, -\log q^2)$$

by the deformation of the standard one by π_0 ; $\pi^{\mathcal{M}(\pi)} = \pi^{\mathcal{N}(\pi)} \circ \pi_0$, that is, $(j, s) \mapsto s - \ell(\pi_j) \log q^2 \pmod{-\log q^2}$. Hence, conclude

Theorem 6.3. *The factor map structure attached to $\mathcal{M}(\pi) \supseteq^{\text{E}_\pi} \mathcal{N}(\pi)$ is*

$$[0, -\log q^2) \xleftarrow{\pi^{\mathcal{M}(\pi)}} \{1, \dots, n\} \times [0, -\log q^2) \xrightarrow{\pi^{\mathcal{N}(\pi)}} [0, -\log q^2)$$

with

$$\pi^{\mathcal{M}(\pi)}(j, s) = s - \ell(\pi_j) \log q^2 \pmod{-\log q^2}, \quad \pi^{\mathcal{N}(\pi)}(j, s) = s.$$

Therefore, the inclusion is of essentially type II if and only if the set

$$\{\ell : \text{the spin } \ell \text{ corepresentation occurs in } \pi\}$$

consists entirely of integers or consists entirely of half-integers.

The next question from the view-point of Hamachi-Kosaki's analysis is to describe the following inclusion of von Neumann algebras (not necessary being factors) inside $\mathcal{M}(\pi) \supseteq \mathcal{N}(\pi)$: Letting

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}} := \widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\pi)} \cap \mathcal{Z}' \supseteq \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}} := \widetilde{\mathcal{N}(\pi)} \vee \mathcal{Z},$$

we set

$$\mathfrak{A} := \widetilde{\mathfrak{A}} \rtimes_\theta \mathbf{R} \supseteq \mathfrak{B} := \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}} \rtimes_\theta \mathbf{R}$$

with $\theta := \theta^{\mathcal{M}(\pi)}$. We first easily observe that

$$\mathcal{Z}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}) = \mathcal{Z}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}) = \mathcal{Z},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{A}) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{B}) = \mathcal{Z}^\theta = \mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)) \otimes \mathbf{C}1 \otimes \mathbf{C}1$$

since F_t is a transitive flow on $[0, -\log q^2)$. What we will do is to give a complete description of this inclusion $\mathfrak{A} \supseteq \mathfrak{B}$ in terms of the irreducible decomposition of π .

Consider the conditional expectation

$$\widehat{G} : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\pi)} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{A}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\pi)} \cap (\pi_\sigma(\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)) \otimes \mathbf{C}1))'$$

given by

$$\widehat{G}(X) := \int_{\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)))} \pi_\sigma(u \otimes 1) X \pi_\sigma(u \otimes 1)^* du, \quad X \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\pi)},$$

with the modular automorphism $\sigma_t := \sigma_t^{\psi \circ E_\pi}$. For any finite sum

$$X = \sum_j \pi_\sigma(m(t_j)) \lambda^\sigma(t_j) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\pi)}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{G}(X) &= \sum_j \widehat{G}(\pi_\sigma(m(t_j)) \lambda^\sigma(t_j)) \\ &= \sum_j \int_{\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)))} \pi_\sigma((u \otimes 1)m(t_j)(u \otimes 1)^*) \lambda^\sigma(t_j) du \\ &= \sum_j \pi_\sigma \left(\int_{\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)))} (u \otimes 1)m(t_j)(u \otimes 1)^* du \right) \lambda^\sigma(t_j). \end{aligned}$$

Here the second equality comes from the fact that the modular automorphism σ_t acts on $\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)) \otimes \mathbf{C}1$ trivially. Note that all the

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)))} (u \otimes 1)m(t_j)(u \otimes 1)^* du$$

are in the relative commutant

$$(B(V_\pi) \otimes M)^{\text{Ad}\pi \otimes \Gamma} \cap (\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)) \otimes \mathbf{C}1)' = (\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi))' \otimes M)^{\text{Ad}\pi \otimes \Gamma}.$$

Here we used that $\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)) \otimes \mathbf{C}1$ sits in $(B(V_\pi) \otimes M)^{\text{Ad}\pi \otimes \Gamma}$. Thus, by the appropriate continuity of $\widehat{G}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathfrak{A}} &= \widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\pi)} \cap (\pi_\sigma(\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)) \otimes \mathbf{C}1))' \\ &= \widehat{G}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\pi)}) \subseteq (\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi))' \otimes M)^{\text{Ad}\pi \otimes \Gamma} \rtimes_\sigma \mathbf{R}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}} = (\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi))' \otimes M)^{\text{Ad}\pi \otimes \Gamma} \rtimes_\sigma \mathbf{R}.$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}} &= \widetilde{\mathcal{N}(\pi)} \vee \mathcal{Z} \\ &= (\mathbf{C}1 \otimes (M^\Gamma \rtimes_\sigma \mathbf{R})) \vee (\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)) \otimes \mathbf{C}1 \otimes \{\lambda_{T_0}\}'') \\ &= \mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)) \otimes (M^\Gamma \rtimes_\sigma \mathbf{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Via the Takesaki duality, we get

$$\mathfrak{A} = (\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi))' \otimes M)^{\text{Ad}\pi \otimes \Gamma}, \quad \mathfrak{B} = \mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)) \otimes M^\Gamma.$$

We will next give the description of the decomposition of $E_\pi : \mathcal{M}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\pi)$ into

$$\mathcal{M}(\pi) \xrightarrow{G} \mathfrak{A} \xrightarrow{H} \mathfrak{B} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{N}(\pi)$$

with $E_\pi = F \circ H \circ G$. Define the conditional expectations

$$F : \mathfrak{B} = \mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)) \otimes M^\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\pi) = \mathbf{C}1 \otimes M^\Gamma;$$

$$H : \mathfrak{A} = (\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi))' \otimes M)^{\text{Ad}\pi \otimes \Gamma} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B} = \mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)) \otimes M^\Gamma;$$

$$G : \mathcal{M}(\pi) = (B(V_\pi) \otimes M)^{\text{Ad}\pi \otimes \Gamma} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A} = (\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi))' \otimes M)^{\text{Ad}\pi \otimes \Gamma}$$

by

$$F(X) := (\tau_q^{(\pi, -)} \otimes \text{Id})(X), \quad X \in \mathfrak{B};$$

$$H(X) := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\tau_q^{(\pi, -)}(p_j)} (\tau_q^{(\pi, -)} \otimes \text{Id})(X(p_j \otimes 1)) p_j \otimes 1, \quad X \in \mathfrak{A};$$

$$\begin{aligned} G(X) &:= \int_{\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)))} (u \otimes 1) X (u^* \otimes 1) du \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \oplus (p_j \otimes 1) X (p_j \otimes 1), \quad X \in \mathfrak{B}, \end{aligned}$$

where the p_j 's form the partition of unity consisting of minimal projections in $\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi))$. Here, the last expression of G is due to the simple fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} z_1 \bar{z}_2 d\mu_{\mathbb{T}}(z_1) d\mu_{\mathbb{T}}(z_2) = 0$$

with the Haar probability measure $\mu_{\mathbb{T}}$. Since $\sigma_t = \sigma_t^{\psi \circ E_\pi}$ acts on $\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi)) \otimes \mathbf{C}1$ trivially as remarked before, we have, by a direct computation,

$$\psi \circ F \circ H \circ G(X) = \psi \circ E_\pi(X), \quad X \in \mathcal{M}(\pi),$$

and hence $E_\pi = F \circ H \circ G$. One should note that G agrees with $\widehat{G}$ introduced previously.

Therefore, putting the detailed data of

$$\pi = m_1 \pi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus m_n \pi_n$$

into the above computations we finally get the following descriptions:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathfrak{A} \supseteq \mathfrak{B}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \oplus \left(M_{m_j}(\mathbf{C}) \otimes (B(V_{\pi_j}) \otimes M)^{\text{Ad}\pi_j \otimes \Gamma} \supseteq \mathbf{C}1_{m_j} \otimes \mathbf{C}1_{V_{\pi_j}} \otimes M^\Gamma \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \oplus \left(M_{m_j}(\mathbf{C}) \otimes \mathcal{M}(\pi_j) \supseteq \mathbf{C}1_{m_j} \otimes \mathcal{N}(\pi_j) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the $(\mathcal{M}(\pi_j) \supseteq \mathcal{N}(\pi_j))$'s mean the Wassermann-type inclusions associated with the π_j 's. The conditional expectation $H : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ can be decomposed into

$$H_j := H|_{(p_j \otimes 1)} : \mathfrak{A}_{(p_j \otimes 1)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{(p_j \otimes 1)},$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_j(\cdot) &= \frac{1}{\tau_q^{(\pi, -)}(p_j)} (\tau_q^{(\pi, -)} \otimes \text{Id}) (\cdot (p_j \otimes 1)) (p_j \otimes 1) \\ &= \frac{\dim_q(\pi)}{\text{Tr}(1_{m_j} \otimes F_{\pi_j}^{-1})} \cdot \frac{1}{\dim_q(\pi)} \left(\text{Tr} \left(1 \otimes F_{\pi_j}^{-1} \cdot \right) \otimes \text{Id} \right) (p_j \otimes 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{m_j \cdot \dim_q(\pi_j)} \left(\text{Tr}_{m_j} \otimes \text{Tr}_{V_{\pi_j}} \left(F_{\pi_j}^{-1} \cdot \right) \otimes \text{Id} \right) (p_j \otimes 1) \\ &= \left(\left(\frac{1}{m_j} \text{Tr}_{m_j} \right) \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\dim_q(\pi_j)} \text{Tr}_{V_{\pi_j}} \left(F_{\pi_j}^{-1} \cdot \right) \right) \otimes \text{Id} \right) (p_j \otimes 1) \\ &= (\tau_{m_j} \otimes (\tau_q^{(\pi_j, -)} \otimes \text{Id}))|_{M_{m_j}(\mathbf{C}) \otimes (B(V_{\pi_j}) \otimes M)^{\text{Ad}_{\pi_j} \otimes \Gamma}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we denote the non-normalized usual trace by Tr and the normalized one by τ . Thus the conditional expectation $H : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ has, via the above identification, the following central decomposition:

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^n \oplus \tau_{m_j} \otimes E_{\pi_j},$$

where the E_{π_j} 's are the conditional expectations of the Wassermann-type inclusions $(\mathcal{M}(\pi_j) \supseteq \mathcal{N}(\pi_j))$'s. Summing up the discussions, we conclude

Theorem 6.4. *The three-step inclusions*

$$\mathcal{M}(\pi) \stackrel{G}{\supseteq} \mathfrak{A} \stackrel{H}{\supseteq} \mathfrak{B} \stackrel{F}{\supseteq} \mathcal{N}(\pi)$$

have the following description:

$$\left(\mathfrak{A} \stackrel{H}{\supseteq} \mathfrak{B} \right) \cong \sum_{j=1}^n \oplus \left(M_{m_j}(\mathbf{C}) \otimes \mathcal{M}(\pi_j) \stackrel{\tau_{m_j} \otimes E_{\pi_j}}{\supseteq} \mathbf{C} 1_{m_j} \otimes \mathcal{N}(\pi_j) \right)$$

and the conditional expectations G, F are given by

$$G(\cdot) = \sum_{j=1}^n \oplus (p_j \otimes 1) (\cdot) (p_j \otimes 1), \quad F = E_{\pi}|_{\mathfrak{B}},$$

where the p_j 's mean the partition of unity consisting of minimal projections in $\mathcal{Z}(\text{End}(\pi))$.

One should note that the factors $\mathcal{M}(\pi_j)$, $\mathcal{N}(\pi_j)$, etc., appearing in the above expression are all isomorphic to M^Γ by the construction itself.

7. COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS.

(1) *The existence question of AFD-minimal actions:* We provide, in [34, 36], examples of minimal actions of compact non-Kac quantum groups. However, their constructions involve the free product construction so that the factors on which the quantum groups in question act do never be AFD. Hence, the most important question in the subject matter is: Does a compact non-Kac quantum group possesses minimal actions on AFD-factors ? If it was affirmative, we would like to ask further: What kind of compact non-Kac quantum groups does allow minimal actions on AFD factors ?

(2) *Bernoulli shift actions of discrete quantum groups:* In a more or less connection to the above-mentioned questions, we would like to pose the research project to find true analog(s?) of (non-commutative) Bernoulli shift actions of discrete quantum groups (or equivalently of the duals of compact (quantum) groups, e.g. $\widehat{\mathrm{SU}_q(2)}$). The duality given in Theorem 5.4 might be helpful to try this research project. Indeed, as was commented after Theorem 5.4 the theorem explains philosophically that our examples of minimal actions of $\mathrm{SU}_q(N)$ are thought of as the dual actions of “free Bernoulli shift actions” of the dual $\widehat{\mathrm{SU}_q(N)}$. Thus, the first attempt seems to try to find an explicit construction of these “free Bernoulli shifts” out of the dual quantum group $\widehat{\mathrm{SU}_q(N)}$ directly. However, the most interesting question on this topic is what should be the discrete quantum group version of non-commutative Bernoulli G -shifts (with discrete group G) based on the infinite tensor product construction, which might help to solve the questions in (1).

(3) *Around free Bernoulli schemes:* Let $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ be a probability vector, and there are two kinds of free analogs of the classical Bernoulli scheme associated with p – the “free commutative Bernoulli scheme” $\mathrm{FCBS}(p) = (L(\mathbb{F}_\infty), \gamma_p)$ and “free non-commutative Bernoulli scheme” $\mathrm{FNBS}(p) = (L(\mathbb{F}_\infty), \sigma_p)$, where γ_p and σ_p are both aperiodic, ergodic automorphisms on $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$. See [12] for their constructions. These free Bernoulli schemes need new dynamical invariants like entropies. Indeed, any kind of non-commutative dynamical entropy cannot be used to distinguish them. In fact, it is known that they always have Connes-Størmer’s entropy (see Størmer[32]) $H_{\tau_{\mathbb{F}_\infty}}^{\mathrm{CS}}(\gamma_p) = H_{\tau_{\mathbb{F}_\infty}}^{\mathrm{CS}}(\sigma_p) = 0$ (for any p), and also we can easily show that the perturbation theoretic dynamical entropy (see [40]) $H_P(\gamma_p) = H_P(\sigma_p) = \infty$ (for any p). However, we have very small facts on their crossed-products (see Proposition 14, 15 of [12]), which in particular

says that, if all the interpolated free group factors were mutually non-isomorphic, then we would have some cocycle conjugacy classification results for free Bernoulli schemes. Moreover, K. Dykema [7] pointed out us that the questions of cocycle conjugacy and of conjugacy for $\mathbb{Z}$ -free shifts considered in Proposition 12 of [12] are both equivalent to the isomorphism question of their crossed-products, which can be easily derived from Theorem 5.4. Thus, from the view-point of the famous isomorphism question of free group factors, it might be very interesting to seek for a new kind of non-commutative dynamical entropy fit for a suitable class of II_1 -factors consisting of all free group factors, and the dynamical free entropy dimension (see §§7.2 of [41]) seems to work for those $\mathbb{Z}$ -free shifts. (Unfortunately, its computation is probably quite difficult because of the same trouble (the so-called “semi-continuity problem”) for free entropy !)

(4) *Some brief comments to §4 and §6:* (4-1) It is possible to consider other quantum groups instead of $\text{SU}_q(2)$. Here, we will briefly explain what phenomenon occurs in the $\text{SO}_q(3)$ -case. The quantum $\text{SO}_q(3)$ ($0 < q < 1$) is defined as the subalgebra of $L^\infty(\text{SU}_q(2))$ generated by the unitary $u(\pi_1)$ of the spin 1 (irreducible, unitary) corepresentation π_1 of $\text{SU}_q(2)$ with the same Hopf algebra structure, and it is known that the set of all nonequivalent irreducible corepresentations can be chosen as $\{\pi_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. See [21] for details. In this case, we can still prove that the fixed-point algebra M^Γ (with minimal action Γ defined in the same manner as in Theorem 5.1) is of type III_{q^2} (one of its proofs uses the explicit description of the fixed-point algebra by creation operators given in the Appendix I of [31]), and thus all the conditions given in the beginning of §6 are still satisfied, and all the results there are of course valid in this case. The most important thing in this case is the fact that the resulting inclusions always become of essentially type II because of all the irreducible corepresentations are indexed by only the integers.

(4-2) Soon after the appearance of our work [31], F. Rădulescu [26] gave another proof (or construction) to Theorem 5.1. His subfactors are constructed by a similar (but not the exactly same) way as in [22]. However, he also used a (minimal) action of $\text{SU}_q(2)$ (or we should say a minimal action of $\text{SO}_q(2)$) to identify with $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$. What we would like here to point out is the rather simple fact that his subfactors are also Wassermann-type. In fact, one can easily see that the subfactors are of the form $\mathcal{N} \supseteq \mathcal{N}_{-1}$, where $\mathcal{N}_{-1}$ is the downward basic extension of the Wassermann-type inclusion $\mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{N}$ constructed from the free product action $\Gamma_{1/2} : M \rightarrow M \otimes A$:

$$\Gamma_{1/2} := (\text{Id}_Q \otimes 1_A) * \text{Ad}\pi_{1/2}$$

with $M := Q * B(V_{\pi_{1/2}})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Araki, D. Kastler, M. Takesaki, and R. Haag, *Extension of KMS states and chemical potential*, Comm. Math. Phys., **53** (1977), No.2, 97–134.
- [2] S. Baaj and G. Skandalis, *Unitaires multiplicatifs et dualité pour les produits croisés de C^* -algèbres*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), **26** (1993), No.4, 425–488.
- [3] T. Banica, *Representations of compact quantum groups and subfactors*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **509** (1999), 167–198.
- [4] T. Banica, *Subfactors associated to compact Kac algebras*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, **39** (2001), No.1, 1–14.
- [5] F. Boca, *Ergodic actions of compact matrix pseudogroups on C^* -algebras*, Recent advances in operator algebras (Orléans, 1992), Astérisque, **232** (1995), 93–109.
- [6] K. Dykema, *Interpolated free group factors*, Pacific J. Math., **163** (1994), No.1, 123–135.
- [7] K. Dykema, Private communication to F. Hiai in the 4th Operator Algebras International Conference, Constanta (Romania), July 2001.
- [8] U. Haagerup and E. Størmer, *Equivalence of normal states on von Neumann algebras and the flow of weights*, Adv. Math., **83** (1990), No.2, 180–262.
- [9] T. Hamachi and H. Kosaki, *Index and flow of weights of factors of type III*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., **64** (1988), No.1, 11–13.
- [10] T. Hamachi and H. Kosaki, *Inclusion of type III factors constructed from ergodic flows*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., **64** (1988), No.6, 195–197.
- [11] T. Hamachi and H. Kosaki, *Orbital factor map*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, **13** (1993), No.3, 515–532.
- [12] F. Hiai and Y. Ueda, *Automorphisms of free product-type and their crossed-products*, Preprint (2001), to appear in J. Operator Theory.
- [13] M. Izumi, R. Longo, and S. Popa, *A Galois correspondence for compact groups of automorphisms of von Neumann algebras with a generalization to Kac algebras*, J. Funct. Anal., **155** (1998), No.1, 25–63.
- [14] H. Kosaki, *Index theory for type III factors*, Mappings of operator algebras (Philadelphia, PA, 1988), 227–231, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.
- [15] H. Kosaki, *Index theory for operator algebras* [translation of Sûgaku **41** (1989), No.4, 289–304], Sugaku Expositions, **4** (1991), No.2, 177–197.
- [16] H. Kosaki, *Type III factors and index theory*, Seoul National University Research Institute of Mathematics Global Analysis Research Center, Seoul, 1998, ii+96.
- [17] T. Masuda, K. Mimachi, Y. Nakagami, M. Noumi, and K. Ueno, *Representations of the quantum group $SU_q(2)$ and the little q -Jacobi polynomials*, J. Funct. Anal., **99** (1991), No.2, 357–386.
- [18] Y. Nakagami and M. Takesaki, *Duality for crossed products of von Neumann algebras*, Springer, Berlin, 1979, ix+139.
- [19] J. Phillips, *Automorphisms of full II_1 factors, with applications to factors of type III*, Duke Math. J., **43** (1976), No.2, 375–385.
- [20] J. Phillips, *Automorphisms of full II_1 factors. II*, Canad. Math. Bull., **21** (1978), No.3, 325–328.
- [21] P. Podleś, *Symmetries of quantum spaces. Subgroups and quotient spaces of quantum $SU(2)$ and $SO(3)$ groups*, Comm. Math. Phys., **170** (1995), No.1, 1–20.
- [22] S. Popa, *Markov traces on universal Jones algebras and subfactors of finite index*, Invent. Math., **111** (1993), No.2, 375–405.
- [23] S. Popa and D. Shlyakhtenko, *Universal properties of $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ in subfactor theory*, Preprint (2000), (MSRI-Preprint, No.2000-032).

- [24] F. Rădulescu, *Random matrices, amalgamated free products and subfactors of the von Neumann algebra of a free group, of noninteger index*, Invent. Math., **115** (1994), No.2, 347–389.
- [25] F. Rădulescu, *A type III_λ factor with core isomorphic to the von Neumann algebra of a free group, tensor $B(H)$* , Recent Advances in operator algebras (Orléans, 1992), Astérisque, **232** (1995), 203–209.
- [26] F. Rădulescu, *Irreducible subfactors derived from Popa’s construction for non-tracial states*, Preprint (2000), math.OA/0011084
- [27] J. E. Roberts, *Cross products of von Neumann algebras by group duals*, Symposia Mathematica, Vol. XX (Convegno sulle Algebre C^* e loro Applicazioni in Fisica Teorica, Convegno sulla Teoria degli Operatori Indice e Teoria K , INDAm, Rome, 1974), 335–363, Academic Press, London, 1976.
- [28] D. Shlyakhtenko, *Free quasi-free states*, Pacific J. Math., **177** (1997), No.2, 329–368.
- [29] D. Shlyakhtenko, *Some applications of freeness with amalgamation*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **500** (1998), 191–212.
- [30] D. Shlyakhtenko, *A -valued semicircular systems*, J. Funct. Anal., **166** (1999), No.1, 1–47.
- [31] D. Shlyakhtenko and Y. Ueda, *Irreducible subfactors of $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ of index $\lambda > 4$* , J. Reine Angew. Math., **548** (2002), 149–166.
- [32] E. Størmer, *States and shifts on infinite free products of C^* -algebras*, Free probability theory, Fields Inst. Commun., Vol.12, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, 281–291.
- [33] M. Takesaki, *Structure of factors and automorphism groups*, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, D.C., 1983, iii+107.
- [34] Y. Ueda, *A minimal action of the compact quantum group $SU_q(n)$ on a full factor*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **51** (1999), No.2, 449–461.
- [35] Y. Ueda, *Amalgamated free product over Cartan subalgebra*, Pacific J. Math., **191** (1999), No.2, 359–392.
- [36] Y. Ueda, *On the fixed-point algebra under a minimal free product-type action of the quantum group $SU_q(2)$* , Internat. Math. Res. Notices, **2000**, No.1, 35–56.
- [37] Y. Ueda, *Remarks on free products with respect to non-tracial states*, Math. Scand., **88** (2001), No.1, 111–125.
- [38] Y. Ueda, *Amalgamated free product over Cartan subalgebra, II: Supplementary results & examples*, Preprint (2000), to appear.
- [39] Y. Ueda, *Fullness, Connes’ χ -groups, and amalgamated free products over Cartan subalgebras*, Preprint (2000), to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **355** (2003), 349–371.
- [40] D. Voiculescu, *Entropy of dynamical systems and perturbations of operators*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, **11** (1991), No.4, 779–786; Vol.II, Huston J. Math., **17** (1991), No.4, 651–661.
- [41] D. Voiculescu, *The analogues of entropy and of Fisher’s information measure in free probability theory. II*, Invent. Math., **118** (1994), No.3, 411–440.
- [42] D. Voiculescu, *Free probability theory: random matrices and von Neumann algebras*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol.1,2 (Zürich, 1994), 227–241, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.
- [43] D. Voiculescu, *Lectures on free probability theory*, Lectures on probability theory and statistics (Saint-Flour, 1998), 279–349, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [44] A. Wassermann, *Coactions and Yang-Baxter equations for ergodic actions and subfactors*, Operator algebras and applications, Vol.2, 203–236, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1988.

- [45] S. L. Woronowicz, *Twisted SU(2) group. An example of a noncommutative differential calculus*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., **23** (1987), No.1, 117–181.
- [46] S. L. Woronowicz, *Compact matrix pseudogroups*, Comm. Math. Phys., **111** (1987), No.4, 613–665.
- [47] S. L. Woronowicz, *Tannaka-Kreĭn duality for compact matrix pseudogroups. Twisted SU(N) groups*, Invent. Math., **93** (1988), No.1, 35–76.
- [48] T. Yamanouchi, *On dominancy of minimal actions of compact Kac algebras and certain automorphisms in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}^\alpha)$* , Math. Scand., **84** (1999), No.2, 297–319.

FUCULTY OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, FUKUOKA, 810-8560, JAPAN

E-mail address: ueda@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp